

United States Patent and Trademark Office



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/26/2001 68,143-001 4949 10/016,385 William E. Taylor **EXAMINER** 27305 7590 11/18/2003 HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C. FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151 3627

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
Office Action Summary	10/016,385	TAYLOR, WILLIAM E.	•
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely, filed be timely, filed be timely, from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 C	October 2001 .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a secondary condition.			•
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		, ·	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appl	ication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action f	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional applicatio	n).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/016,385

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims1-23, drawn to amethod of tax preparation, classified in class 705, subclass 31.
- II. Claims 24-46, drawn to a system, classified in class 700, subclass 29.
- IIII. Claim 47, drawn to 365, classified in class 365, subclass 232.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced by human intervention, e.g. preparation by a CPA.

Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a medium on which is recorded music. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3627

Page 3

A telephone call was made to Atty Yee on October 20 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731. Ma from Exam